

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF ARKANSAS

FILED
U.S. DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT ARKANSAS

APR 30 2008

JAMES W. McCORMACK, CLERK
By: _____
DEP. CLERK

ARISTA RECORDS LLC, a Delaware limited liability company; ATLANTIC RECORDING CORPORATION, a Delaware corporation; BMG MUSIC, a New York general partnership; CAPITOL RECORDS, INC., a Delaware corporation; ELEKTRA ENTERTAINMENT GROUP INC., a Delaware corporation; INTERSCOPE RECORDS, a California general partnership; LAFACE RECORDS LLC, a Delaware limited liability company; LAVA RECORDS LLC, a Delaware limited liability company; MOTOWN RECORD COMPANY, L.P., a California limited partnership; NONESUCH RECORDS INC., a Delaware corporation; PRIORITY RECORDS LLC, a Delaware limited liability company; SONY BMG MUSIC ENTERTAINMENT, a Delaware general partnership; UMG RECORDINGS, INC., a Delaware corporation; VIRGIN RECORDS AMERICA, INC., a California corporation; WARNER BROS. RECORDS INC., a Delaware corporation; and ZOMBA RECORDING LLC, a Delaware limited liability company,

Plaintiffs,

v.

DOES 1 - 30,

Defendants.

4-08-CV00371 WRW
CASE NUMBER _____

COMPLAINT

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

This case assigned to District Judge Wilson
and to Magistrate Judge Young

1. This is a civil action seeking damages and injunctive relief for copyright infringement under the copyright laws of the United States (17 U.S.C. § 101 *et seq.*).

2. This Court has jurisdiction under 17 U.S.C. § 101 *et seq.*; 28 U.S.C. § 1331 (federal question); and 28 U.S.C. § 1338(a) (copyright).

3. Venue in this District is proper. See 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391(b), 1400(a). Although the true identity of each Defendant is unknown to Plaintiffs at this time, on information and belief, each Defendant may be found in this District and/or a substantial part of the acts of infringement complained of herein occurred in this District. On information and belief, personal jurisdiction in this District is proper because each Defendant, without consent or permission of the copyright owner, disseminated over the Internet copyrighted works owned and/or controlled by Plaintiffs. On information and belief, such illegal dissemination occurred in every jurisdiction in the United States, including this one. In addition, each Defendant contracted with an Internet Service Provider (“ISP”) found in this District to provide each Defendant with the access to the Internet which facilitated Defendants’ infringing activities.

PARTIES

4. Plaintiff Arista Records LLC is a limited liability company duly organized and existing under the laws of the State of Delaware, with its principal place of business in the State of New York.

5. Plaintiff Atlantic Recording Corporation is a corporation duly organized and existing under the laws of the State of Delaware, with its principal place of business in the State of New York.

6. Plaintiff BMG Music is a general partnership duly organized and existing under the laws of the State of New York, with its principal place of business in the State of New York.

7. Plaintiff Capitol Records, Inc. is a corporation duly organized and existing under the laws of the State of Delaware, with its principal place of business in the State of New York.

8. Plaintiff Elektra Entertainment Group Inc. is a corporation duly organized and existing under the laws of the State of Delaware, with its principal place of business in the State of New York.

9. Plaintiff Interscope Records is a California general partnership, with its principal place of business in the State of California.

10. Plaintiff LaFace Records LLC is a limited liability company duly organized and existing under the laws of the State of Delaware, with its principal place of business in the State of New York.

11. Plaintiff Lava Records LLC, a limited liability company, is a joint venture between Atlantic Recording Corporation and Diamond Music LLC, and is duly organized and existing under the laws of the State of Delaware, with its principal place of business in the State of New York.

12. Plaintiff Motown Record Company, L.P. is a limited partnership duly organized and existing under the laws of the State of California, with its principal place of business in the State of California.

13. Plaintiff Nonesuch Records Inc. is a corporation duly organized and existing under the laws of the State of Delaware, with its principal place of business in the State of New York.

14. Plaintiff Priority Records LLC is a limited liability company with its principal place of business in the State of California.

15. Plaintiff SONY BMG MUSIC ENTERTAINMENT is a Delaware general partnership, with its principal place of business in the State of New York.

16. Plaintiff UMG Recordings, Inc. is a corporation duly organized and existing under the laws of the State of Delaware, with its principal place of business in the State of California.

17. Plaintiff Virgin Records America, Inc. is a corporation duly organized and existing under the laws of the State of California, with its principal place of business in the State of New York.

18. Plaintiff Warner Bros. Records Inc. is a corporation duly organized and existing under the laws of the State of Delaware, with its principal place of business in the State of California.

19. Plaintiff ZOMBA RECORDING LLC is a limited liability company duly organized and existing under the laws of the State of Delaware, with its principal place of business in the State of New York.

20. The true names and capacities of Defendants are unknown to Plaintiffs at this time. Each Defendant is known to Plaintiffs only by the Internet Protocol (“IP”) address assigned to that Defendant by his or her ISP on the date and time of that Defendant’s infringing activity. See Exhibit A. Plaintiffs believe that information obtained in discovery will lead to the identification of each Defendant’s true name.

21. Although Plaintiffs do not know the true names of Defendants, each Defendant is alleged to have committed violations of the same law (e.g., copyright law), by committing the same acts (e.g., the downloading and distribution of copyrighted sound recordings owned by Plaintiffs), and by using the same means (e.g., a file-sharing network) that each Defendant accessed via the same ISP. Accordingly, Plaintiffs’ right to relief arises out of the same series of

transactions or occurrences, and there are questions of law or fact common to all Defendants such that joinder is warranted and appropriate here.

**COUNT I
INFRINGEMENT OF COPYRIGHTS**

22. Plaintiffs incorporate herein by this reference each and every allegation contained in each paragraph above.

23. Plaintiffs are, and at all relevant times have been, the copyright owners or licensees of exclusive rights under United States copyright law with respect to certain copyrighted sound recordings, including, but not limited to, all of the copyrighted sound recordings on Exhibit A to this Complaint (collectively, these copyrighted sound recordings shall be identified as the "Copyrighted Recordings"). Each of the Copyrighted Recordings is the subject of a valid Certificate of Copyright Registration issued by the Register of Copyrights to each Plaintiff as specified on each page of Exhibit A.

24. Among the exclusive rights granted to each Plaintiff under the Copyright Act are the exclusive rights to reproduce the Copyrighted Recordings and to distribute the Copyrighted Recordings to the public.

25. Plaintiffs are informed and believe that each Defendant, without the permission or consent of Plaintiffs, has continuously used, and continues to use, an online media distribution system to download and/or distribute to the public certain of the Copyrighted Recordings. Exhibit A identifies on a Defendant-by-Defendant basis (one Defendant per page) the IP address with the date and time of capture and a list of copyrighted recordings that each Defendant has, without the permission or consent of Plaintiffs, downloaded and/or distributed to the public. Through his or her continuous and ongoing acts of downloading and/or distributing to the public the Copyrighted Recordings, each Defendant has violated Plaintiffs' exclusive rights of

reproduction and distribution. Each Defendant's actions constitute infringement of Plaintiffs' copyrights and/or exclusive rights under copyright. (In addition to the sound recordings listed for each Defendant on Exhibit A, Plaintiffs are informed and believe that each Defendant has, without the permission or consent of Plaintiffs, continuously downloaded and/or distributed to the public additional sound recordings owned by or exclusively licensed to Plaintiffs or Plaintiffs' affiliate record labels, and Plaintiffs believe that such acts of infringement are ongoing. Exhibit A includes the currently-known total number of audio files being distributed by each Defendant.)

26. Plaintiffs have placed proper notices of copyright pursuant to 17 U.S.C. § 401 on each respective album cover of each of the sound recordings identified in Exhibit A. These notices of copyright appeared on published copies of each of the sound recordings identified in Exhibit A. These published copies were widely available, and each of the published copies of the sound recordings identified in Exhibit A was accessible by each Defendant.

27. Plaintiffs are informed and believe that the foregoing acts of infringement have been willful, intentional, and in disregard of and with indifference to the rights of Plaintiffs.

28. As a result of each Defendant's infringement of Plaintiffs' copyrights and exclusive rights under copyright, Plaintiffs are entitled to statutory damages pursuant to 17 U.S.C. § 504(c) against each Defendant for each infringement by that Defendant of each copyrighted recording. Plaintiffs further are entitled to their attorneys' fees and costs pursuant to 17 U.S.C. § 505.

29. The conduct of each Defendant is causing and, unless enjoined and restrained by this Court, will continue to cause Plaintiffs great and irreparable injury that cannot fully be compensated or measured in money. Plaintiffs have no adequate remedy at law. Pursuant to

17 U.S.C. §§ 502 and 503, Plaintiffs are entitled to injunctive relief prohibiting each Defendant from further infringing Plaintiffs' copyrights, and ordering that each Defendant destroy all copies of copyrighted sound recordings made in violation of Plaintiffs' exclusive rights.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs pray for judgment against each Defendant as follows:

1. For an injunction providing:

“Defendant shall be and hereby is enjoined from directly or indirectly infringing Plaintiffs' rights under federal or state law in the Copyrighted Recordings and any sound recording, whether now in existence or later created, that is owned or controlled by Plaintiffs (or any parent, subsidiary, or affiliate record label of Plaintiffs) (“Plaintiffs' Recordings”), including without limitation by using the Internet or any online media distribution system to reproduce (*i.e.*, download) any of Plaintiffs' Recordings or to distribute (*i.e.*, upload) any of Plaintiffs' Recordings, except pursuant to a lawful license or with the express authority of Plaintiffs. Defendant also shall destroy all copies of Plaintiffs' Recordings that Defendant has downloaded onto any computer hard drive or server without Plaintiffs' authorization and shall destroy all copies of those downloaded recordings transferred onto any physical medium or device in Defendant's possession, custody, or control.”

2. For statutory damages for each infringement of each Copyrighted

Recording pursuant to 17 U.S.C. § 504.


3. For Plaintiffs' costs in this action.
4. For Plaintiffs' reasonable attorneys' fees incurred herein.

5. For such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and proper.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: April 30, 2008

By:


Daniel C. Scott
Gardere Wynne Sewell LLP
3000 Thanksgiving Tower
1601 Elm Street
Dallas, TX 75201-4761
Phone: (214) 999-3000 (Direct)
Fax: (214) 999-4667 (Direct)

ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFFS

OF COUNSEL:

Stacy R. Obenhaus
Texas Bar No. 15161570
Geoffrey H. Bracken
Texas Bar No. 02809750
Gardere Wynne Sewell LLP
1601 Elm Street, Suite 3000
Dallas, Texas 75201
Tel: 214-999-3000

EXHIBIT A DOE LIST

Doe # 1 IP Address: 130.184.88.239 2007-03-08 12:05:41 EST

Doe # 2 IP Address: 130.184.89.219 2007-12-01 20:50:25 EST

Doe # 3 IP Address: 130.184.151.75 2007-12-02 15:38:16 EST

Doe # 4 IP Address: 130.184.92.29 2007-12-06 18:53:12 EST

Doe # 5 IP Address: 130.184.51.155 2007-12-08 16:12:45 EST

Doe # 6 IP Address: 130.184.154.206 2007-12-16 20:35:37 EST

Doe # 7 IP Address: 130.184.150.198 2008-01-07 15:23:36 EST

Doe # 8 IP Address: 130.184.154.95 2008-01-11 04:00:51 EST

Doe # 9 IP Address: 130.184.93.240 2008-01-12 14:21:47 EST

Doe # 10 IP Address: 130.184.84.174 2008-01-17 18:18:22 EST

Doe # 11 IP Address: 130.184.92.88 2008-01-17 22:39:25 EST

Doe # 12 IP Address: 130.184.83.249 2008-01-21 06:35:01 EST

Doe # 13 IP Address: 130.184.154.64 2008-01-23 01:03:33 EST

Doe # 14 IP Address: 130.184.93.237 2008-01-23 17:22:37 EST

Doe # 15 IP Address: 130.184.92.30 2008-01-28 13:52:44 EST

Doe # 16 IP Address: 130.184.86.90 2008-01-29 04:53:29 EST

Doe # 17 IP Address: 130.184.91.118 2008-02-01 04:49:24 EST

Doe # 18 IP Address: 130.184.95.166 2008-02-03 12:31:25 EST

Doe # 19 IP Address: 130.184.86.79 2008-02-03 22:20:05 EST

Doe # 20 IP Address: 130.184.150.188 2008-02-05 23:13:28 EST

Doe # 21 IP Address: 130.184.54.143 2008-02-06 17:09:44 EST
Doe # 22 IP Address: 130.184.93.41 2008-02-09 03:01:49 EST
Doe # 23 IP Address: 130.184.85.197 2008-02-16 14:20:33 EST
Doe # 24 IP Address: 130.184.92.180 2008-02-17 04:17:02 EST
Doe # 25 IP Address: 130.184.54.132 2008-02-24 13:46:03 EST
Doe # 26 IP Address: 130.184.154.65 2008-02-24 14:46:41 EST
Doe # 27 IP Address: 130.184.54.183 2008-02-25 01:45:59 EST
Doe # 28 IP Address: 130.184.92.146 2008-02-25 17:58:24 EST
Doe # 29 IP Address: 130.184.88.229 2008-02-26 01:39:07 EST
Doe # 30 IP Address: 130.184.92.157 2008-02-26 18:55:28 EST